




kindle

Deep State: The Invisible Government Behind the Scenes

van Newman, Alex

Gratis Kindle Instant Preview: <https://read.amazon.com/kp/kshare?asin=B08LHH4HGZ>

34 Highlights | Geel (34)

Pagina 16

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 16

In a memo produced by Rich Higgins while he was serving as U.S. National Security Council director for strategic planning in the Trump administration, the “Deep State” is referred to multiple times. Under “The Deep State,” the document outlines the general idea: “The successful outcome of cultural Marxism is a bureaucratic state beholden to no one, certainly not the American people. [Emphasis added.] With no rule of law considerations outside those that further deep state power, the deep state truly becomes, as Hegel advocated, god bestriding the earth.” Throughout the memo, there are more than half a dozen references to this “Deep State,” including the idea that Democratic leadership “protects cultural Marxist programs of action and facilitates the relentless expansion of the deep state.” Even the Republican leadership, in cooperation with “globalists, corporatists, and the international financial interests,” is willing to “service the deep state,” Higgins explained. The explosive document Higgins wrote, which outlines the coalition of interests that he said are working to destroy President Trump and America itself, highlights a seemingly bizarre alliance bringing together the hard Left, Islamist organizations, globalists, mega-corporations, the bureaucracy, and more. “Recognizing in candidate Trump an existential threat to cultural Marxist memes that dominate the prevailing cultural narrative, those that benefit recognize the threat he poses and seek his destruction,” Higgins observed, describing an incredibly fierce, Maoist-style insurgency being waged against the current administration. “For this cabal, Trump must be destroyed. Far from politics as usual, this is a political warfare effort that seeks the destruction of a sitting president. Since Trump took office, the situation has intensified to crisis level proportions. For those engaged in the effort, especially those from within the ‘deep state’ or permanent government apparatus, this raises clear Title 18 (legal) concerns.”

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 19

At the U.S. Department of Agriculture, despite the fact that American farmers are overwhelmingly conservative, 99.4 percent of donations went to Clinton. The numbers were the same at the U.S. Department of Labor, with just 0.6 percent of donations going to Trump. At the U.S. Commerce Department, more than 98 percent of the cash went to Clinton. At the Department of Energy, it was 95 percent. Among Health and Human Services (HHS) bureaucrats, 96 percent of their donations were for Clinton. At the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 96 percent of donations went to Clinton, too. At the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), which has been under fire for years for targeting conservatives, almost 95 percent of donations were for Hillary. At the Treasury Department, 95 percent went to Clinton. At the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), where former bureaucrats got caught circulating a guide teaching current bureaucrats how to resist the Trump policies voters supported, it was 96 percent. In other words, the federal bureaucrats in charge of foreign policy, “justice,” education, agriculture, health, tax audits, housing, commerce, and more are virtually all opponents of their boss — the man elected by the American people to rein in those very bureaucracies and bureaucrats. Those bureaucrats, who make up a key component of the “Deep State,” were still giving overwhelmingly to Democrats ahead of the 2018 congressional elections, according to an analysis by the online Washington Free Beacon. Those trends are not likely to change anytime soon, with many of those bureaucrats having “job security” unimaginable in the private sector, which includes a practical ban on being fired, even when criminal activity is involved. Consider the implications of this hard-left Deep State, and the unfathomable power it wields over the lives of Americans. It is not a pretty picture.

Pagina 23

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 23

Adding another twist to the saga is that the swarms of “Deep State” bureaucrats and bureaucracies have many ways to take down their enemies. Consider, for example, that these out-of-control, pro-Clinton agencies produce more decrees and regulations with criminal penalties attached than the government itself can count — literally. Estimates suggest there are close to half a million federal regulations with criminal penalties. But nobody knows the true number. When lawmakers asked the Congressional Research Service to calculate the number of statutes and regulations with criminal penalties, its response was that it lacked the “manpower and resources to accomplish this task.” Seriously. Despite the Constitution delegating all legislative powers to Congress, the reality is that most legislative powers (and even many judicial powers) have today been usurped by the administrative arm of “Deep State” and its never-ending deluge of regulations and decrees. Almost none of those decrees include mens rea protections requiring the feds to prove intent in order to convict. That means, among other things, that

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 27

The examples are nearly endless. Disgraced General David Petraeus, who was put in charge of the CIA, is a regular Bilderberg attendee and a member of the globalist-minded Council on Foreign Relations, which has openly expressed its goal of undermining national sovereignty in favor of “global governance.” When he was done with his government “service,” as with many other supposed “public servants,” he was scooped up by Wall Street investment behemoth KKR. Now, he spends some of his time shilling for the end of America and the emergence of a European Union-style “North America.” His agenda and career trajectory are typical among loyal Deep State swamp creatures involved in “intelligence” and “security.” Similarly, Keith Alexander, who ran the NSA, attended Bilderberg before going on to the “private sector” to make big money with a crony cybersecurity company he founded. Another typical Deep State “intelligence” operative deeply intertwined with the Deep State behind the Deep State is Michael Hayden, who has led both the CIA and the NSA. Like Petraeus, Hayden is a member of the CFR and has attended the secretive annual Bilderberg gatherings on multiple occasions. State

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 32

• Deep State-backed jihadists — In the name of fighting communism, the “intelligence community” literally armed, funded, and trained Osama bin Laden and other jihadists in Afghanistan who later became enemies of the United States. And in the name of fighting terrorism, this practice of aiding and abetting jihadists has continued to the present day, and has even included support for terrorists led by al-Qaeda. Seriously. For example, an explosive 2012 document from the DIA exposed several stunning facts about what the Deep State was up to in Syria. For one, it shows the Deep State knew that the insurgency in Syria was being led by al-Qaeda — and that the administration was supporting that insurgency anyway. “The Salafist [extreme Islamist sect], the Muslim Brotherhood, and AQI [Al Qaeda in Iraq] are the major forces driving the insurgency in Syria,” admitted the report, dated August 12, 2012. It also admitted that “Western powers,” certainly including the Obama administration in power at the time, support that al-Qaeda-led opposition. The memo goes on to outline a plan to create an Islamic State in Eastern Syria based on extreme fundamentalist Islamism. “There is the possibility of establishing a declared or undeclared Salafist [fundamentalist Islam] principality in Eastern Syria (Hasaka and Der Zor) and this is exactly what the supporting powers to the opposition want, in order to isolate the Syrian regime,” the document declares. That is also exactly what happened when ISIS declared the establishment of its “caliphate” in Eastern Syria and parts of Iraq. In short, the memo offers smoking-gun proof that creating a “Salafist principality” in Eastern Syria was a policy goal of the Deep State. The memo led Trump to conclude publicly and repeatedly that Obama and “Crooked Hillary” were “the co-founders of ISIS.” •

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 36

• Working with criminals — The “intelligence” and “national security” components of the Deep State have a long and troubling history with criminals and criminality — so much so that in many cases it is hard to tell where the criminal networks begin and the government ends. One example is the now-infamous “Operation Fast and Furious.” It was revealed that, as part of the scheme, the Justice Department, the ATF, and other components of the Deep State were transferring American weapons to brutal Mexican drug cartels for the supposed purpose of catching the target of an investigation. Yet that target was already on the FBI’s payroll. NRA official Wayne LaPierre (among others) reasoned that the real purpose was to undermine the Second Amendment. “It’s the only thing that makes any sense,” LaPierre said in a 2011 interview with Newsmax TV. “Over a period of two or three years they were running thousands and thousands of guns to the most evil people on earth. At the same time they were yelling ‘90 per cent ... of the guns the Mexican drug cartels are using come from the United States.’” • Media manipulation — The intelligence component of the Deep State has also been caught using propaganda and manipulation of the media — against Americans. One stunning example revealed in declassified documents was the CIA’s Project Mockingbird (also known as Operation Mockingbird). According to official documents, the scheme, launched in the early 1950s, aimed to hijack the U.S. media to parrot CIA propaganda. Though many of the documents are heavily redacted, it is clear that the CIA program was wildly successful in recruiting globalist pseudo-journalists to do the Deep State’s bidding. Among the names mentioned in the documents are Joseph Harsch of the Christian Science Monitor; Henry Luce, founder of Time and Life magazines; Walter Lippmann of the Los Angeles Times Syndicate; and more. William F. Buckley, Jr., founder of the neocon journal National Review, was also a CIA lackey.

Pagina 41

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 41

or any other groups, and frequently does so.” The leading Round Table Groups he identifies include the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) in the United States and its sister organization in Britain known as the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA, sometimes referred to as Chatham House). The CFR was founded in 1921 by establishment mega-bankers and globalist ideologists anxious to get America ensnared in foreign entanglements after the U.S. Senate declined to join the League of Nations. In America, it is among the most powerful organizations representing the public face of the Deep State behind the Deep State. To get some sense of how influential the outfit is, consider the words of then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, whose husband and daughter are members, in a 2009 speech at the CFR’s new office in Washington. “I am delighted to be here in these new headquarters,” she said. “I have been often to, I guess, the mother ship in New York City, but it’s good to have an outpost of the Council right here down the street from the State Department. We get a lot of advice from the Council, so this will mean I won’t have as far to go to be told what we should be doing and how we should think about the future.” In other words, the unelected CFR establishment sets policy, and the visible government implements it. The same applies to the establishment wing of the Republican Party, which is also dominated by CFR operatives. Knowing how toxic the CFR label has become politically among conservatives, though, a leading CFR “Republican” actually boasted of his dishonesty in concealing his membership from the public.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 43

The CFR also has a “corporate membership” that includes the world’s largest bailed-out mega-banks, Big Oil, Big Pharma, crony capitalists, the military-industrial complex, and publishing houses, along with much of the establishment media. Among the mega-banks and financial giants: Goldman Sachs, Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, American Express, Citigroup, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, Soros Fund Management, Bank of New York Mellon Corporation, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Crédit Agricole, Rothschild North America, Inc., and more. Military-industrial complex: DynCorp, Booz Allen Hamilton Inc., Raytheon Company, Boeing, Lockheed Martin, and more. Big Oil: ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, BP, Hess, and more. Media: News Corp (Fox News, Wall Street Journal, New York Post, National Geographic, and more), Time Warner (CNN, HBO), The Economist, Bloomberg, and more. Within the CFR, which has around 5,000 members at any given time, there is a core of figures who play a key role in guiding the organization. The late U.S. Admiral Chester Ward, a CFR member for almost 20 years before defecting and blowing the whistle, wrote in his book *Kissinger on the Couch* that within the CFR there exists a “much smaller group but more powerful ... made up of Wall Street international bankers and their key agents.” Primarily, he continued, the members of this smaller group “want the world banking monopoly from whatever power ends up in control of the global government.” This CFR leadership faction, he added, “is headed by the Rockefeller brothers.” Of

Pagina 46

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 46

Aside from Rockefeller, who in his memoirs boasted of “conspiring” with a “secret cabal” against the best interests of America to form a “one world” order, the other key figure behind the Trilateral Commission was Zbigniew Brzezinski, who at the time was at Columbia University’s Russian Studies department. Eventually, he became an advisor to Rockefeller, the North America director of the Trilateral Commission, and the national security advisor to President Jimmy Carter. He also was a key player in arming the jihadist “mujaheddin” holy warriors in Afghanistan, whose descendants the U.S. military is currently battling. Decades ago, Brzezinski’s writings greatly impressed Rockefeller — so much so that Rockefeller decided to take Brzezinski under his wing. Among Brzezinski’s ideas that caught Rockefeller’s attention were those outlined in his 1970 book *Between Two Ages: America’s Role in the Technetronic Era*. In the book, Brzezinski argued, in essence, that America was “obsolete,” and that a new world order needed to be created to take the place of the previous U.S.-dominated system. Rockefeller, apparently, agreed. While Brzezinski’s book acknowledged some of the problems in the Soviet Union, it also showered praise on Marxism. “Marxism represents a further vital and creative stage in the maturing of man’s universal vision,” he wrote. “Marxism is simultaneously a victory of the external, active man over the inner, passive man and a victory of reason over belief: it stresses man’s capacity to shape his material destiny — finite and defined as man’s only reality — and it postulates the absolute capacity of man to truly understand his reality as a point of departure for his active endeavors to shape it. To a greater extent than any previous mode of political thinking, Marxism puts a premium on the systematic and rigorous examination of material reality and on guides to action derived from that examination.” Following

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 50

going on at the controversial summit. In fact, multiple attendees have admitted in public comments that policy is indeed discussed — and even set — behind Bilderberg's closed doors and its legions of mostly tax-funded security. For example, in 2010, former NATO boss and two-time Bilderberg attendee Willy Claes explained in a radio interview that reports of speeches given at the summit are, in fact, compiled. "The participants are then obviously considered to use this report in setting their policies in the environments in which they affect," Claes added. Analysts said the remark was essentially an admission that Bilderberg attendees are secretly plotting your future behind closed doors — and they are. Claes was hardly the only one to spill the beans. The year before Claes' stunning public admission, then-Bilderberg chairman Etienne Davignon — a former European Union commissar and current Belgian minister of state — told the online publication EUobserver that the network and the annual meetings "helped create" the controversial euro currency imposed on formerly sovereign European nations. Much evidence also suggests that the summits played a major role in foisting the EU superstate on the peoples of Europe against their will — a process that continues despite the lack of even a semblance of public support. Speaking to the BBC in 2005, Davignon also admitted that at the summit, "automatically around the table you have internationalists." Internationalist is just another term for globalist. This writer had an opportunity to go to Copenhagen, Denmark, in 2014 to cover the Bilderberg summit taking place there for The New American magazine. And outside the confab, which as usual took place at a fancy hotel, Diederik Samsom, a Bilderberg attendee and the leader of the Socialist International-aligned Dutch Labor Party, admitted on camera that he was attending the summit in his official capacity as parliamentary leader. Asked if he was there in an informal capacity, as Bilderberg claimed, Samsom responded: "Well, I'm formal, because being a politician, you're 24/7, so there's no way of exiting my role." By contrast, the Bilderberg website says, "Participants take part in the conference as individuals in their own right." The comments by the Dutch lawmaker and "sustainability" zealot, however, suggest otherwise. It was hardly the first time that a Bilderberg attendee had contradicted, in public, the official narrative sold to the masses. In fact, more than 20 years ago, radical left-wing Bilderberg attendee Will Hutton — a former British newspaper editor, a pro-EU extremist, and a vehement opponent of American conservatism — also hinted at the influence of the gathering and its role in global policymaking. "[Bilderberg] is one of the key meetings of the year," he wrote in 1998. "The consensus established is the backdrop against which policy is made worldwide." The admission could not get much clearer than that. And yet, officially at least, the Bilderberg network continues to claim that the meetings are simply forums for discussion

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 52

Many have admitted the agenda in public. In 2001, for instance, former British chancellor of the exchequer and Bilderberg bigwig Denis Healey told the left-wing U.K. Guardian, one of the few papers that has provided extensive Bilderberg coverage, that it was a little “exaggerated, but not wholly unfair” to say that the outfit’s overall goal was to impose a global government on humanity. “Those of us in Bilderberg felt we couldn’t go on forever fighting one another for nothing and killing people and rendering millions homeless,” he claimed, as if the globalists were simply destroying nationhood for the benefit of humanity. “So we felt that a single community throughout the world would be a good thing.” By “community,” globalists really mean government — after all, the European Union was a “community” before the full-blown superstate was openly announced. Aside from guiding policy, a great deal of anecdotal evidence suggests, Bilderberg plays a major role in selecting the policymakers in charge of foisting their schemes on an unsuspecting public. In 1991, for example, a virtually unknown governor from Arkansas attended. Shortly after that, he became President Bill Clinton. Obama, too, went to Bilderberg before becoming president. Multiple members of virtually every U.S. executive branch Cabinet for generations have also attended, including several of President Trump’s top officials, such as Defense Secretary James Mattis, Commerce Secretary Wilbur Ross, and Energy Secretary Rick Perry. It is not just American politicians and bureaucrats whose careers seem to get a major boost from attending the summits, either. Tony Blair, for example, attended Bilderberg as a virtually unknown opposition member of Parliament. He then became prime minister shortly afterward. Numerous other British prime ministers, including David Cameron, have also attended. Other countries in Europe have faced similar occurrences, such as Bilderberg bigwig and Goldman Sachs operative Mario Monti being installed as the unelected prime minister of Italy in 2011, shortly after attending Bilderberg meetings. More than a few analysts have suggested that Bilderberg leaders were “vetting” puppet politicians to determine whether they would be a good fit.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 54

At the European Union level — where voters and the peoples of Europe have virtually no say in governance — the same phenomenon has been observed. In 2005, even the state-funded BBC highlighted it. “All the recent presidents of the European Commission attended Bilderberg meetings before they were appointed,” the broadcaster reported. EU overlords, of course, are not elected by the people. In 2009, meanwhile, former Belgian Prime Minister Herman Van Rompuy — a virtual nobody unknown throughout the bloc — was mysteriously installed as EU “president” just days after attending the confab. In a bizarre speech that sparked criticism, ridicule, and alarm worldwide, he promptly announced that “global governance” was advancing.

Beyond the Bilderberg, CFR, Trilateral, and such establishment organs are other secretive and semi-secret organizations, such as the World Affairs Council, the Aspen Institute, Le Cercle, the Brookings Institution, the Club of Rome, the Atlantic Council, the World Economic Forum, and even the relatively new “World Government Summit” that in 2017 erected the Arch of Baal at its confab in the United Arab Emirates. Each of these plays an important role in the Deep State’s architecture. The Deep State behind the Deep State also includes an array of secret societies, including Skull and Bones, the Bohemian Grove, and others. There are also key individual leaders of the Deep State behind the Deep State, including the Rockefeller, Soros, and Rothschild families. Aside from the organizations they control, and in tandem with their influence exercised through government power, these figures also rely heavily on the tax-exempt foundations, where they shelter their loot from taxes while funding efforts to advance their agenda. And beyond all those that are known are, undoubtedly, others that are not even known publicly. Unfortunately, Trump has many operatives for the real Deep State serving at the highest levels of his administration. More than a few of his Cabinet secretaries, for example, are current or former members of the CFR, the Trilateral Commission, and more. As previously mentioned, some are also attendees of Bilderberg, with several senior Trump officials attending the 2017 Bilderberg meeting in Virginia. But, it is also becoming obvious that the Deep State behind the Deep State does not trust Trump at best — and actively despises him at worst. That is a good sign, though it is no guarantee that anything will change.

The Deep State’s supposedly “capitalist” moneymen have no aversion to communism, either, as Quigley revealed in his book. Decades before the startling admission in his own memoirs, Rockefeller himself showered praises on the most murderous dictatorship to plague humanity in all of recorded history. “Whatever the price of the Chinese Revolution, it has obviously succeeded, not only in producing more efficient and dedicated administration, but also in fostering a high morale and community purpose,” Rockefeller claimed in a 1973 New York Times piece about Mao Tse-tung’s “communist revolution” that murdered tens of millions of people in cold blood. “The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao’s leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history.” For Deep State luminaries such as Rockefeller, then, mass murder and total subjugation of a people is a tremendous “success.” So it can be safely concluded that the success or failure of the globalist world order Rockefeller and his cronies is aiming for will not be decided on how well it cares for the middle and lower classes.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 61

More recently, fellow Deep State bigwig George Soros, another key moneyman and a protégé of the even more-powerful and wealthy Rothschild dynasty, echoed those remarks about Communist China. In 2009, for instance, Soros called for the communist regime enslaving mainland China to “own” what he referred to as the “New World Order.” Speaking to the Financial Times, the self-styled philanthropist declared that the United States and the U.S. dollar were on their way down, and that the Communist Party regime must step up to the plate. “I think you really need to bring China into the creation of a new world order,” Soros said, without noting that the regime has murdered more people than any other in human history. “I think you need a new world order, that China has to be part of the process of creating it and they have to buy in, they have to own it in the same way as the United States owns ... the current order.” It

Pagina 62

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 62

On its website, Rothschild & Co, one of the many Rothschild banking operations, styles itself “one of the world’s largest independent financial advisory groups,” providing services and solutions to “large institutions, families, individuals and governments, worldwide.” “Having been at the centre of the world’s financial markets for more than 200 years we can rely on an unrivalled global network of more than 2,800 talented employees and a track-record of outstanding execution with 50 offices around the world,” the website boasts in what might even be an understatement, adding that Rothschild & Co “can be closer to current issues than any other global financial institution in our core markets.” They are not kidding. Estimates of the ultra-secretive dynasty’s wealth reach into the trillions of dollars.

Pagina 63

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 63

In other words, even more than two centuries ago, this dynasty, which is deeply involved in the global central-banking regime described by Quigley, was able to decide the outcome of wars between two of the most powerful governments then existing on the planet. Since then, the dynasty’s power and wealth appear to have grown even further, owning businesses in financial services, real estate, mining, energy, agriculture, winemaking, and more, despite efforts to stay out of the limelight. Further highlighting the affinity of these supposed “super-capitalists” for communism, though, a leading member of the Rothschild dynasty, Baron Eric de Rothschild, publicly came out to help push the candidacy of Bulgarian Communist Party operative Irina Bokova to lead the United Nations as secretary-general.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 64

Consider the “Green” movement. In 2014, a report by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee exposed the network of billionaires and their foundations that it referred to as the “Billionaires Club.” This club, the report said, was responsible for creating an AstroTurf “Green” movement that hijacked vast swaths of the federal policymaking apparatus. The explosive 92-page study, entitled *The Chain of Environmental Command: How a Club of Billionaires and Their Foundations Control the Environmental Movement and Obama’s EPA*, exposes the tactics, too. Among other schemes, the network relies on an “incredibly sophisticated” system of front groups and the exploitation of loopholes in the tax code. The Billionaires Club’s machinations also involve “a close knit network of like-minded funders, environmental activists, and government bureaucrats who specialize in manufacturing phony ‘grassroots’ movements and in promoting bogus propaganda disguised as science and news to spread an anti-fossil energy message to the unknowing public,” the report said.

Pagina 65

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 65

In some cases, the network funds pseudo-scientific research, too. The findings are then disseminated by far-left “media” outlets such as the Huffington Post and Mother Jones that are also receiving Billionaires Club funds. “In one example, a story reporting on a Park Foundation-supported anti-fracking study was reproduced by a Park-funded news organization through a Park-funded media collaboration where it was then further disseminated on Twitter by the maker of Park-backed anti-fracking movies,” the report found. Three radical outfits in particular were identified as serving key roles in the scheming of the network: the Environmental Grantmakers Association, the Democracy Alliance, and the Divest/Invest movement. Other crucial components of the phony grassroots network involved in financing the deception and extremism include the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, the Schmidt Family Foundation created by Google boss Eric Schmidt, and the infamous Heinz Family Foundation largely controlled by former Secretary of State John Kerry’s wife. The abuse of these tax-exempt foundations by the Deep State behind the Deep State to subvert freedom in the United States and worldwide is hardly new. In fact, in 1952, Congress created the Select Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations and Comparable Organizations, sometimes known as the Cox Committee and the Reece Committee after its two chairmen. Among other tasks, it was supposed to look into whether the foundations were supporting communism. It turns out that they were, but the reality was even worse. In an explosive interview with G. Edward Griffin released in 1991, Norman Dodd, the staff director of the committee, explained just how serious the threat was — and presumably still is.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 66

Then, according to Dodd, Gaither dropped a bombshell: “Mr. Dodd, we are here to operate in response to similar directives, the substance of which is that we shall use our grant-making power to so alter life in the United States, that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.” Read that sentence again and let it sink in. The final report itself was revealing, too. “Some of the larger foundations have directly supported subversion in the true meaning of that term — namely, the process of undermining some of our vitally protective concepts and principles,” the investigators found, adding that the foundations were promoting internationalism and moral relativism. “They have actively supported attacks upon our social and governmental system and financed the promotion of socialism and collectivist ideas,” the report continued. Of course, this continues to this day. In his report, Dodd also argued that the mega-foundations were weaponizing the government “education” system to enable oligarchical collectivism. He noted, too, that a “revolution” had occurred that would never have been possible “unless education in the United States had been prepared in advance to endorse it.” The corruption of America’s “education” regime stretches back to progressive humanist John Dewey (not to be confused with Melvil Dewey of the Dewey Decimal System), who was financed by one of the Rockefellers’ tax-exempt foundations and is today known as the “father” of America’s government-education regime. Today, the Gates, Carnegie, and Rockefeller foundations, among others, continue the work.

Pagina 71

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 71

The historical Order of the Illuminati that Washington and Dwight warned of was a secret society founded in Bavaria on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt, a professor of law at Ingolstadt University. According to French Catholic priest Abbé Augustin Barruel in his book *Memoirs Illustrating the History of Jacobinism*, Weishaupt had been inspired by the teachings of 18th-century anti-Christian fanatics from France styling themselves “philosophers” or “philosophes,” such as Voltaire, Rousseau, and Diderot. His four-volume writings documented, among other important facts, the role of these conspirators in the French Revolution. The book was translated into English by 1799 and was widely read among European leaders, contributing to widespread awareness of the secret machinations of secret societies in those days that has largely been lost in contemporary times.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 72

However, the Illuminati's subversive doctrines and ideas — described as “diabolical” by America's first president — clearly survived. Virtually the same evil principles articulated by Weishaupt and his band of criminals were articulated in *The Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, for example. Those same principles guided the French Revolution, with its murderous hatred for religion and its willingness to use absolute savagery — think mass decapitations, among other atrocities — against enemies of the revolution. Today, many of those evil doctrines spread by the Illuminati are taught as “truth” in universities across America and Europe and around the world, such as the idea that private property rights should be abolished for the most part or the idea that religion is a crutch for the ignorant masses. And as Robison documented extensively, the conspirators continued spreading their subversion across Europe even after their discovery, something that came to be closely associated with the “Enlightenment” and the rejection of religion — and the hatred against Christianity in particular. More than a few prominent individuals have highlighted the role of Weishaupt (his Illuminati code-name was “Spartacus”) and the movement he founded in laying the groundwork for the modern-day global effort to bring down Christianity, liberty, and civilization. “From the days of Spartacus-Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky (Russia), Bela Kun (Hungary), Rosa Luxembourg (Germany), and Emma Goldman (United States) ... this worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality, has been steadily growing,” wrote future Prime Minister Winston Churchill in the U.K. *Illustrated Sunday Herald* in February of 1920. “It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the 19th century; and now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads and have become practically the undisputed masters of that enormous empire.” He was referring, of course, to the Communist Revolution that seized control of what became the Soviet Union.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 74

By their very nature, “secret” societies are secret, and hence, difficult for outsiders to track and understand — that is, after all, the point of being “secret.” And so, beyond observable ideological similarities, the relationship between historical organizations such as the Illuminati and modern-day secret societies, assuming there is a relationship, is murky at best. But secret societies that bear striking similarities in terms of practicing occultism, totalitarian ideology, subversive practices, obsessive secrecy, hatred of Christianity, and more continue to exist — even in America, to this day. One such organization operating in the United States today is known as the Skull and Bones society. It exists at Yale University, and is sometimes known as the “Brotherhood of Death.” It was founded in 1832 by William H. Russell, whose family was in the opium business, shortly after his return from Germany. The German connection has led many analysts to speculate that Skull and Bones may be an American branch of an international movement — potentially even the Illuminati. But that is simply speculation at this point.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 75

Aside from the two presidential candidates in 2004, many Bonesmen have served at the highest levels of government, ranging from Cabinet secretaries and senators to CIA bosses and Supreme Court justices and at least three presidents. Among the prominent members have been former President George H.W. Bush; James Jesus Angleton, credited with “mothering” the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA); neocon journal National Review founder William F. Buckley, Jr., a CIA agent and CFR member who “purged” true conservatives from the “conservative” movement; Secretary of War Henry Stimson; Austan Goolsbee, chairman of President Barack Obama’s Council of Economic Advisors; leftist Washington Post columnist Dana Milbank; George H.W. Bush’s father, Senator Prescott Bush, who was embroiled in legal troubles for financing the Nazi war machine; multiple CFR founders and leaders; Frederick Smith, the founder of FedEx; Harold Stanley, founder of Morgan Stanley; international mega-banker Averill Harriman; Secretary of Defense Robert Lovett; and both President William Howard Taft and his son, Senator Robert A. Taft. Multiple Rockefellers have also been involved. While not all members go on to powerful positions, many certainly do. Still

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 98

Of course, that Salafist principality backed by Obama and his globalist allies in London, Paris, and beyond — known as ISIS, the “caliphate,” or the Islamic State today — was indeed established in Eastern Syria, as intended. (Trump even called Obama a co-founder of ISIS, for good reason.) And it ended up fueling a genocide against Christians, as well as the mass exodus out of Syria into Europe, Canada, the United States, and other Western countries. That massive movement of people was a direct consequence of the policies pursued by the Obama administration and its allies. About half of Syria’s 22 million people have been displaced, with about six million now living outside Syria. Other countries destabilized by Western interventionism include: Iraq: Before the carnage in Syria uprooted almost half of that nation’s population, the George W. Bush administration had invaded Iraq and toppled Saddam Hussein’s regime. The result was not the rise of freedom and prosperity for the Iraqi people but a bloodbath of apocalyptic proportions, with Sunni and Shia slaughtering each other, and globalist-backed jihadists working to annihilate the ancient Christian communities that had lived there some 2,000 years — just as the Bush administration was warned would happen. The invasion was based on the claim that there were “weapons of mass destruction” in Iraq — and of course, at one point, there were, with CIA documents proving that the Deep State armed Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein with WMDs, knowing full well that he was slaughtering his own people with them. Even before the Iraq War, U.S. sanctions against Iraq during the Clinton administration contributed to the misery of the Iraqis, and top U.S. officials openly acknowledged it. During a CBS News interview, for example, Lesley Stahl of CBS told then-Secretary of State Madeleine Albright that an estimated half a million Iraqi children had died as a result of sanctions. “That’s more children than died in Hiroshima,” Stahl noted. “And, you know, is the price worth it?” Albright responded with a grotesque answer: “I think this is a very hard choice, but the price — we think the price is worth it.” Obviously, nobody would want to live under such horrible conditions. So when the West opened its borders to Iraqis who could claim refugee status, it was not surprising that many fled from their homes. Many, especially Christians, went to Syria, only to face more terror and war fueled by U.S. government and globalist intervention there. Libya: Despite being ruled by a brutal dictator (who was a U.S. ally in the “war against terror”), Libya was prosperous and relatively stable before U.S. government-backed jihadists went on a rampage in early 2011. Many of those “rebel” leaders, who were funded and armed by the U.S. government and its allies, boasted about their membership in al-Qaeda and its affiliates such as the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group. In fact, some bragged to Western media outlets that they had fought U.S. troops just a few years earlier. The Western-backed jihadists were facing certain defeat at the hands of Moammar Gadhafi’s forces, but then the UN, NATO, and Obama decided to intervene militarily to overthrow the regime. “Actions have consequences, and the writ of the international community must be enforced,” Obama declared on March 28, 2011. “Today I authorized the armed forces of the United States to begin a limited military action in Libya in support of an international effort to protect Libyan civilians. That action has now begun.” Congress was not consulted, with a UN resolution purporting to authorize the regime-change scheme. Before the end of the year, Gadhafi had been murdered by Western-backed terrorists, and the whole nation was in ruins. Today, in addition to having open-air slave markets, the ruins of Libya serve as a key gateway for Africans and Arabs to pour across the Mediterranean into Europe. Afghanistan: War-ravaged Afghanistan, which has been occupied by the U.S. military for nearly two decades now, has produced more refugees than any other country except Syria. According to the UN refugee agency, there are some 2.6 million Afghan refugees, with many of those being resettled in the West at taxpayer expense. Despite the massive cost in lives and treasure, America is no closer to “victory” in that nation today than it was 10 years ago. In fact, nobody even knows what victory would look like, with Communist China making major inroads and the Taliban almost certain to return to power as soon as

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 117

As far back as 1950, globalists had openly revealed their agenda for global government under the United Nations. In his book *War or Peace*, for example, global government-promoting Council on Foreign Relations co-founder John Foster Dulles spelled it out clearly. “The United Nations represents not a final stage in the development of world order, but only a primitive stage,” Dulles wrote. “Therefore its primary task is to create the conditions which will make possible a more highly developed organization.” In the same book, Dulles went on to argue that the existing UN Charter was strong enough to serve as the foundation for a world government. “I have never seen any proposal made for collective security with ‘teeth’ in it, or for ‘world government’ or for ‘world federation,’ which could not be carried out either by the United Nations or under the United Nations Charter,” he said. Unfortunately for globalists, though, humanity was not yet ready to surrender its sovereignty to an all-powerful world government. Thus, regionalization. In a 1962 report headlined *A World Effectively Controlled by the United Nations*, financed by the U.S. State Department, CFR member and longtime State Department official Lincoln Bloomfield argued that global government could be brought about via regionalism. In the plan, he proposed that “ever larger units evolve through customs unions, confederation, regionalism, etc., until ultimately the larger units coalesce under a global umbrella.” Sound familiar? Of course, that is precisely the strategy that has been used, primarily relying on “free trade” schemes — in addition to going to war and threatening war, other key tactics highlighted in the Bloomfield report. By 1974, almost a quarter of a century after CFR founder Dulles wrote his infamous book, the globalist organization’s mouthpiece, the magazine dubbed *Foreign Affairs*, was telegraphing its strategy of globalism via incrementalism to globalist insiders and useful idiots everywhere. “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up rather than from the top down,” wrote former Deputy Assistant Secretary of State Richard N. Gardner in April of 1974. “An end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece, will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.” In short, globalists recognized the reality that people were not willing to relinquish control over their own nations and their own destinies all at once. Instead, the plan would have to be pursued slowly, quietly, and deceptively. And so, piece by piece, sovereignty was eroded using tools such as “free trade,” international agreements, regional military alliances such as the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), and more. Central to the plot was convincing nations and peoples to surrender sovereignty not to some global government-in-waiting right away, but to regional organizations.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 125

Globalists have been getting bolder in recent years, speaking openly of their machinations and intentions. For instance, former National Security Advisor and Secretary of State Henry Kissinger outlined the same plot to advance globalism as his co-conspirator Brzezinski did two decades earlier at Gorbachev's confab, just more openly. "The contemporary quest for world order will require a coherent strategy to establish a concept of order within the various regions and to relate these regional orders to one another," Kissinger explained in an excerpt from his book *World Order* that appeared in the *Wall Street Journal* on August 29, 2014, under the headline "Henry Kissinger on the Assembly of a New World Order." Calling for a "structure of international rules and norms" that is "fostered as a matter of common conviction," he praised, in particular, developments on the other side of the Atlantic. "Europe has set out to transcend the state," Kissinger said. Despite the use of opaque and sterile language, it is clear that Kissinger was calling for the world's nations and peoples to be divided up into "regional orders" as a prelude to the "New World Order" finale.

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 130

Despite its deplorable human rights record, Communist China possesses a seat on the UN's Human Rights Council. Of course, at the very least this should sound an alarm bell about the UN's concept of human rights. Communist Chinese agents also lead almost one-third of all UN specialized agencies. UN agencies or programs where China's influence is prominent include:

- **UN Industrial Development Organization:** The little-known UNIDO "aims to improve the living conditions of people and promote global prosperity through ... sustainable industrial development" — a euphemism for limiting industrial output to what the UN deems "sustainable." In 2013, the outfit's members selected Chinese Communist Li Yong, the regime's former "vice-minister of finance," as executive director. "[The regime ruling] China will inevitably need to be given more rights in international activities, such as the right to participate and the right to have a voice in international affairs," Li was quoted as saying by regime-controlled propaganda outlets.
- **UNESCO:** A recent General Conference of the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization was chaired by former Beijing "education vice-minister" Hao Ping. UNESCO itself was chaired by a Bulgarian communist, Irina Bokova, who tried to install Communist Chinese agent Tang Qian, then assistant director-general, as her successor. When that failed, CCP (Chinese Communist Party) agent Qu Xing was installed as deputy director-general. In 2014, UNESCO appointed Peng Liyuan, wife of Communist Chinese dictator Xi Jinping, to serve as a "special envoy" for female education.
- **UN International Telecommunications Union:** Communist Chinese agent Houlin Zhao was selected in 2014 to lead the ITU, which promotes international cooperation in assigning satellite orbits, oversees global use of the radio spectrum, and promotes and develops worldwide technical standards. The ITU is also seeking control over the Internet. If an ITU led by a Communist Chinese operative whose bosses run a totalitarian censorship regime dubbed the "Great Firewall of China" were not troubling enough, Zhao's comments raised even more alarm worldwide. "We [at the ITU] don't have a common interpretation of what censorship means," the agency chief was quoted as saying by the Korean Yonhap news agency when asked about censorship. He believes censorship is in the eye of the beholder.
- **UN World Health Organization:** After Communist Chinese loyalist Margaret Chan left her post as the director-general of WHO, she was replaced by Communist Chinese puppet Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus of Ethiopia. Before taking the helm at WHO, he was on the politburo of the ethno-Marxist terrorist group known as the Tigray People's Liberation Front. With the coronavirus panic, WHO has been attempting to usurp increasingly draconian powers over humanity under the guise of "health." It also seeks global tobacco taxes and the establishment of a planetary "mental health" regime. In dealing with the Ebola outbreak, Chan claimed "global health authorities" would need to help impose "new measures such as deploying soldiers to quarantine stricken neighborhoods in Sierra Leone."
- **UN International Civil Aviation Organization:** In March of this year, the ICAO selected Dr. Fang Liu of Communist China to sit as secretary-general for a three-year term. That UN agency, among other troubling activities, has been at the forefront of the ongoing effort to impose UN "carbon taxes" on air travel under the guise of stopping alleged man-made global warming and averting a "trade war," as The New American reported in 2012. A supposed dispute over CO2 taxes between the European Union and Beijing is what drove efforts to have the taxes on air travel imposed at the global level — paid straight to the UN. Globalists all agreed that a UN tax would settle the matter, and its promoters are still hard at work.
- **UN Food and Agriculture Organization:** Using bribes and other nefarious means, according to diplomats, the mass-murdering regime was able to have its agent, Qu Dongyu, installed as leader of the prominent, Rome-based UNFAO in 2019. The agency shapes agricultural policy worldwide and distributes billions in food aid while boosting its communist allies worldwide.
- **UN Framework Convention on Climate Change:** UNFCCC executive secretary Christiana Figueres, who is Costa Rican, claims that the communist regime in Beijing is "doing it right" when it comes to fighting alleged global

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 133

The above examples are just the beginning of Beijing's long-term plan to accumulate power within the UN, according to the dictatorship itself. In a "blue book" published by the regime's China Institute of International Studies, Beijing's Foreign Ministry reported in 2015, "China will target a bigger role in international affairs after recent successes in global diplomacy." Senior regime officials have been boasting for months that Beijing is training up an army of staffers to hold key positions of influence in UN agencies and other "global governance" mechanisms. The CCP even created a "School of Global Governance" at the elite Beijing Foreign Studies University to train thousands of additional agents to infiltrate the architecture of global governance. At least one senior former official in the Donald Trump administration, former Assistant U.S. Secretary of State for International Organization Affairs Kevin Moley, told this writer for a piece in The Epoch Times that the ongoing takeover represents "the greatest existential threat to our republic since our founding." "This is the fight of our lives," he added. "It is a struggle between Western civilization and the Communist Party of China." It is clear that, for Beijing and its allies, the UN must be at the center of the emerging global order. In a 2013 joint declaration, for example, top Communist Chinese officials joined with their counterparts in the BRICS regimes — Brazil, Russia, India, and South Africa — to openly push the agenda. "The UN enjoys universal membership and is at the center of global governance," the rulers said. (Emphasis added.) "We underscore our commitment to work together in the UN to continue our cooperation and strengthen multilateral approaches in international relations based on the rule of law and anchored in the Charter of the United Nations.... We are fully committed to a coordinated inter-governmental process for the elaboration of the UN development agenda." In 2014, a collection of over 100 of the world's communist, Islamist, and socialist tyrants, along with some elected but mostly corrupt Third World regimes, gathered in Bolivia at the G77 plus China summit to demand what they called a "New World Order to Live Well." UN boss Ban Ki-moon joined the anti-American, anti-freedom, anti-national sovereignty, anti-free market festivities, calling on the assembled rulers — the biggest bloc at the UN — to keep pushing "sustainable development" and global-warming alarmism. The goal: foisting what he also called a "New World Order" on humanity. And they all made clear, even in the summit's final declaration, that the UN would be at the heart of that order. Dominating

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 135

Top Chinese officials and central bankers have long been pushing for the IMF to unveil a truly planetary currency to replace the U.S. dollar as the global reserve currency. “A super-sovereign reserve currency not only eliminates the inherent risks of credit-based sovereign currency, but also makes it possible to manage global liquidity,” wrote Chinese central-bank boss Zhou Xiaochuan in his public paper calling for a world currency run by the IMF. Today, the IMF also includes multiple senior officials who are members of the CCP, including Zhang Tao, deputy managing director; Lin Jianhai, secretary of the IMF and of the International Monetary and Financial Committee; and IMF Executive Director for China Jin Zhongxia. The Communist Chinese operatives working within the globalist economic institution worked hard to include the Chinese yuan in the basket of currencies that make up the special drawing rights (SDR), the IMF’s proto-global currency. Then IMF boss Christine Lagarde openly said the organization’s headquarters could soon be moved from Washington to Beijing. “The way things are going, I wouldn’t be surprised if one of these days the IMF was headquartered in Beijing,” Lagarde said at the London School of Economics. Perhaps not surprisingly, more than a few U.S. allies, and even the Obama administration, jumped on board the bandwagon to give Beijing, along with the Kremlin, a greater leadership role at the IMF at U.S. expense as the world moves toward a New World Order heavily influenced by Communist China. In fact, when then-U.S. Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner was asked about Beijing’s global-currency proposal, he said, “We’re actually quite open to that.”

Pagina 143

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 143

In 2017, Chinese dictator Xi Jinping gave the keynote speech at the World Economic Forum in Davos defending globalism and blasting capitalism. But rather than recoil in horror, Western elites pathetically prostrated themselves before the mass-murdering tyrant, apparently a snub at Trump. “In a world marked by great uncertainty and volatility the world is looking to China,” claimed World Economic Forum boss Klaus Schwab before welcoming Xi to the stage, confusing extremist Western globalists with “the world” as if the two were interchangeable. Former Swedish Prime Minister Carl Bildt, a Bilderberg attendee embroiled in endless scandals, echoed the bogus narrative: “There is a vacuum when it comes to global economic leadership, and Xi Jinping is clearly aiming to fill it. With some success.” Eurasia Group boss Ian Bremmer, meanwhile, was widely quoted claiming that the reaction of Davos globalists to Xi’s speech was “success on all counts.”

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 167

Interestingly, despite the riot leaders' blatant affinity for totalitarians and communism, their groups have been showered in money by some of the world's leading "capitalists." Billionaire George Soros and other globalist Deep State elites have long been financing movements designed to apply the crucial pressure from below, especially BLM. In 2016, for instance, documents obtained by a hacker revealed why Soros' Open Society Foundations had been pouring money into the group. The documents tout an "Inside strategy," which involves lobbying and pressure from above, combined with an "Outside strategy" involving funding for phony "grassroots" movements such as BLM. The communist tactic is actually tried and tested: create pressure from below and above at the same time, with left-wing politicians and deep-pocketed insiders such as Soros pushing to destroy American society from the top while creating the illusion of popular support on the streets. The stated goal: exploit the "unrest" to "accelerate the dismantling of structural inequality generated and maintained by local law enforcement" and "create a national movement," a 2015 report from Soros' Open Society's U.S. Programs Board showed. To that end, Soros provided \$650,000 to "invest in technical assistance and support for the groups at the core of the burgeoning #BlackLivesMatter movement," the report showed. Even before that, almost \$35 million in Soros money was provided to groups involved in the 2014 Ferguson riots, documents cited by the Washington Times and other media outlets showed. Recently released undercover footage from Project Veritas of Antifa leaders also revealed that they had received a "grant" from Soros. Indeed, Soros has backed dozens of organizations, ranging from Occupy Wall Street to the Center for Community Change. The goals are always the same: to subvert America and erect a new socialist state. Soros, whose firm was funded early on by the ultra-establishment Rothschild banking dynasty, claims to support an "Open Society" with his billions. However, his own statements and admissions against interest prove that tyranny is truly the goal. For example, Soros has openly and repeatedly praised the mass-murdering communist regime enslaving China, even claiming it has a "better functioning government" than the United States. "I think you need a new world order, that China has to be part of the process of creating it and they have to buy in, they have to own it in the same way as the United States owns ... the current order," he said in an interview with the Financial Times. (Soros also admitted on national television that he felt no guilt about having helped the Nazis steal Jewish property as a child.) Many of the other organizations involved in the unrest are funded by Rockefeller money. The Sunrise Movement, for example, which played a crucial role in fomenting the unrest, has been funded largely by the Rockefeller Family Foundation, according to an investigation by Insider Philanthropy. Many of the other organizations involved in the unrest are also funded by Rockefeller money. Like Soros, the late globalist billionaire David Rockefeller, a key Deep State leader and patriarch of the family, was in love with the barbarous tyranny of the Communist Chinese. "The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in history," he wrote in a 1973 op-ed for the New York Times after a trip to the communist-ruled nation. Also, on page 405 of his autobiography, *Memoirs*, he admitted to conspiring against America with a "secret cabal" to create a one-world order. "Some even believe we [the Rockefellers] are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure — one world, if you will," he wrote. "If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I'm proud of it."

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 169

history of support for racism and eugenics. In fact, so extreme was the oil family's support for eugenics that the Rockefeller Foundation helped to found the National Socialist eugenics program and bankrolled Nazi "doctor" Josef Mengele's work prior to his human experimentation and torture at Auschwitz, according to historian Edwin Black, author of several books on eugenics, Nazis, and the Holocaust. By 1926, the equivalent of many millions in today's dollars had been given by Rockefeller entities to Nazi racial "scientists."

Pagina 185

Highlight (Geel) | Pagina 185

The UN and UNESCO, meanwhile, had already been working on hijacking control over education for decades. One key element: the World Core Curriculum, a UN scheme that author Robert Muller, the assistant secretary-general of the UN at the time, said should be taught in every school on the planet. In the World Core Curriculum Manual by Muller, the preface contains a stunning admission. "The underlying philosophy upon which The Robert Muller School is based," he wrote, "will be found in the teachings set forth in the books of Alice A. Bailey by the Tibetan teacher, Djwhal Khul." To the uninitiated, it would be easy to read right over that. But to those who know anything about Bailey and the "Lucifer Publishing Company" she created, the reference is more than a red flag. Among other dubious distinctions, Bailey was one of the founders of the occult New Age movement. She was also a key figure in theosophy, which even theosophists have admitted helped inspire the National Socialists (Nazis) in Germany. And she channeled spirits, described as "ascended masters," chief among them the so-called Tibetan referenced in the World Core Curriculum Manual. These spirits supposedly wrote dozens of books through Bailey, predicting a new global religion and globalized education that would smash biblical Christianity and national sovereignty on the way to a "world federation with a world brain." The
