



YOUR KINDLE NOTES FOR:

## JFK-9/11: 50 Years of Deep State

Laurent Guyénot

Last accessed on Monday December 29, 2015

### 22 Highlight(s) | 0 Note(s)

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 1,320

Under Johnson, military aid to Israel reached \$92 million in 1966, more than the total of all previous years combined. Johnson even allowed the delivery of Phantom missiles capable of carrying nuclear warheads. Israel developed its first nuclear bomb in 1967, without ever giving public acknowledgement. Nixon took no more interest than Johnson, while his National Security Advisor Henry Kissinger privately expressed his satisfaction at the idea of Israel as a nuclear power ally. Nixon would play a double game: while publicly supporting the Non-Proliferation Treaty of 1968 (which wasn't an American initiative), to his cabinet he authored a contrary message, as part of a top-secret National Security Decision Memorandum (NSDM-6), stating: "there should be no efforts by the United States government to pressure other nations [...] to follow suit. The government, in its public posture, should reflect a tone of optimism that other countries will sign or ratify, while clearly disassociating itself from any plan to bring pressure on these countries to sign or ratify."

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 1,703

The Bush family belongs to the capitalist aristocracy, heir of the robber barons emerged in the late nineteenth century thanks to transportation industries, oil extraction and weapons manufacturing, and deep ties with international banks. Even better than the Rockefellers and Harrimans, and better still than the Dulles, the Bushes managed the merger between finance and politics, bridging Wall Street and Washington. They also typify the influence of the Skull & Bones secret society, whose exclusively WASP membership is influential in a range of networks such as the Bilderberg Group.[183] For George H.W. Bush, Skull & Bones was a family heritage: his father, his brother Jonathan, his uncles John Walker and George Herbert Walker Jr., his cousins George Herbert Walker III and Ray Walker, his sons George W. and Neil Mallon

are all “skullbonians,” as are many of the family’s friends such as the Harriman brothers or Percy Rockefeller.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 1,711

Finally, the Bush legacy embodies the anti-democratic tendencies of this handful of super-rich families from the 20th Century. In 1930, Prescott Bush opposed Roosevelt’s New Deal. In 1942, the Union Banking Corporation, a subsidiary of Harriman & Co, co-directed by Prescott and his stepfather George Herbert Walker, was seized by the Roosevelt administration under the Trading with the Enemy Act, for its links with Fritz Thyssen, the main financier of the Third Reich (as Thyssen boasts in his book, *I Paid Hitler*). With such a controversial family history, George H. W. Bush probably could never have become President without first flying under the democratic radar as Vice-President.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,092

Oil of California). Even though the relationship was complicated in 1998 because of the attacks against U.S. embassies that some attributed to the Taliban, negotiations continued along with humanitarian aid to the tune of \$113 million in 2000, and similar figures in 2001. From February to August 2001, the Bush administration intensified talks with Islamabad, as documented by French specialists Jean-Charles Brisard and Guillaume Dasquié in *Forbidden Truth* (2002). But in July, the United States lost confidence in the ability of the Taliban to stabilize the country, and their negotiators threatened: “either you accept our offer of a carpet of gold, or we bury you under a carpet of bombs.”[219] The negotiations broke down, and overnight the Taliban became an obstacle to the project; a military option was then blueprinted.

Operationalization only needed an acceptable excuse, which was given on September 11th. The fact that the operation is set in motion less than a month after the attacks serves as proof that it was planned in advance. On October 10th, three days after the start of the war, the U.S. State Department informed the Pakistani Minister of Petroleum that the pipeline project could now be reactivated; unsurprisingly, the U.S. ambassador to Afghanistan, Zalmay Khalilzad and the future new president, Hamid Karzai, had both been consultants to UNOCAL for years.

Note:

---

However, a few months before the attacks, on January 25, 2001, the head of construction for the WTC, Frank DeMartini, said in a videotaped interview that each twin tower “was designed to have a fully loaded 707 crash into it. [...] I believe that the building probably could sustain multiple impacts of jet liners.” The building’s structure, made of two tubes of steel columns and crossbars, was fashioned somewhat like a mosquito net; “this jet liner is like a pencil puncturing this screen netting. It really does nothing to this screen netting.”

Note:

---

Steel begins to melt at a temperature close to 1,500°C; after the ball of fire resulting from the airplane fuel’s immediate ignition on impact, the fire did not exceed 1,000°C, as the black smoke escaping from the towers indicates. NIST even admitted that “none of the recovered steel samples showed evidence of exposure to temperatures above 600°C for as long as 15 minutes.”

Note:

---

First, there are hundreds of testimonies from firefighters and other witnesses who heard and felt the rumblings of explosions before the collapse. In 2005, the New York Fire Department (FDNY) released 503 recorded oral testimonies given by firefighters shortly after the events: 118 of them describe sequences of synchronized explosions just before the collapse, well below the zone of impact. For example, Karin Deshore’s testimony, who was in the South Tower: “Somewhere around the middle of the World Trade Center, there was this orange and red flash coming out. Initially it was just one flash. Then this flash just kept popping all the way around the building and that building had started to explode. The popping sound, and with each popping sound it was initially an orange and then red flash came out of the building and then it would just go all around the building on both sides as far as I could see. These popping sounds and the explosions were getting bigger, going both up and down and then all around the building.”[227] Hundreds of firefighter survivors, who believe that the towers collapsed due to explosions and not the planes, have formed the association Firefighters for 9/11 Truth. Their testimonies are consistent with those of many civilian survivors.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,193

The use of explosives is also the only possible explanation for the horizontal projection of huge sections of the outer frame, clearly visible in the films of the towers' collapse. Some of these chunks of steel weighing hundreds of tons were propelled over 150 meters and lodged in neighboring buildings. Furthermore, only powerful explosives could have caused the pulverization of all the non-metallic parts of the building, such as concrete, furniture and even human bodies—between 2005 and 2006, more than 700 small human fragments were found on the roof of the nearby Deutsche Bank building.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,203

Finally, the presence of molten metal in the wreckage, observed by countless witnesses for more than three weeks after the attack, is inexplicable within the framework of the official theory, but is easily explained by the presence of incompletely burned explosives, their combustion slowed by lack of oxygen. Firefighter Philip Ruvolo testified before Étienne Sauret's camera for his film *Collateral Damages* (2011), "You'd get down below and you'd see molten steel — molten steel running down the channel-ways, like you were in a foundry — like lava." [231] The engineer Leslie Robertson, co-designer of the twin towers, testified at the National Conference of Structural Engineers on October 5, 2001: "As of 21 days after the attack, the fires were still burning and molten steel was still running." [232] In fact, firemen were fighting fires at Ground Zero for 99 days after September 11.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,322

The recordings of 85 video cameras, either placed at the Pentagon or in the general vicinity, were seized by government agents, but no recognizable image of the aircraft was made public. Only one sequence was released by court order in May 2006, and it includes four images that show an object exploding as it hits the Pentagon, but they do little to suggest that it is an airplane that caused the blast. Curiously, the film is dated September 12, not 11. According to some experts, the yellow light emitted by the explosion in the images could not have been caused by jet fuel, and neither can the odor of cordite (an explosive

made from nitroglycerine, nitrocellulose and nitroguanidine) that some Pentagon employees have reported.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,385

All things considered, it is highly doubtful whether any of the airline flights reportedly hijacked on 9/11 were involved in the attacks. The Bureau of Transportation, which holds precise records of all flights, has no trace of Flight AA77 on September 11th; it was not planned at Dulles Airport that day, and its takeoff was not recorded. As for Flight UA93, it doesn't normally circulate Tuesdays, but as an exception, it had taken passengers initially planned for Flight UA91, which had been canceled due to a "crack in the windshield." This flight was recorded at takeoff, but then it is also recorded as having landed in San Francisco at noon, 45 minutes late. Finally, the mayor of Cleveland, Michael White, was quoted at 11:50 am on ABC News saying that a Boeing 767 flying out of Boston was forced to make an emergency landing in Cleveland due to a bomb threat, and had been taken to a secure area of the airport to be evacuated. The plane was identified as Flight UA93—although a Boeing 767 out of Boston corresponded rather to the Flight UA175.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,535

It's likely that bin Laden actually died in late 2001, as was announced by the Pakistani President Musharraf (CNN, January 18, 2002), the Afghan President Hamid Karzai (CNN, October 7, 2002), and the leader of the anti-terrorism division of the FBI, Dale Watson (BBC, July 18, 2002). On January 28, 2002, CBS reported that on the eve of September 11th bin Laden had been treated in a military hospital in Pakistan for kidney dialysis, and was escorted by the Pakistani army. How could he have survived until 2011, holed up in the caves of Afghanistan, when he had to undergo dialysis every three days? More troubling still: two months earlier, bin Laden stayed at the American Hospital in Dubai, where he was visited by the local CIA station chief Larry Mitchell. This information comes from credible sources (administrative management of the hospital, members of the Saudi royal family, and French Intelligence) and was covered by French newspaper Le Figaro in October 2001.[275] There were two advantages in holding back the announcement of bin Laden's death until 2011. First, it allowed the continued invasion of Afghanistan under the auspices of a manhunt. Second, it allowed bin Laden to "speak" when needed, and thus clear the doubts raised by his

denials; better than a dead patsy, the architects of the September 11 deception created for themselves a virtual patsy. The guilt of bin Laden is based mainly upon three video confessions “accredited by the CIA.” The first was mysteriously found in December 2001 in Jellalabad, translated and released two months later. Despite the poor image quality, it is easy to see that the character presented as bin Laden is hardly a credible semblance.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,553

The second video appeared in October 2004, a week before the elections that reappointed George W. Bush. An independent analysis by the Swiss institute IDIAP specialized in perceptual intelligence, basing their study on comparisons with twenty previous recordings of bin Laden, concluded with 95% probability that the voice on the October tape is not that of bin Laden.[277] A third video reached the public on September 8, 2007, in which bin Laden announced an intensification of Al-Qaeda activities in Iraq; this just before the debate in Congress regarding the need for new troops in Iraq. The image is frozen for most the message, and even when it is not, the quality is so bad that it is impossible to verify whether the movement of the lips corresponds to the soundtrack. Additionally, the videos of 2004 and 2007 were filmed in the same studio with the same frame and the same posture, but bin Laden looks younger on the second (he had dyed his beard black, it was explained).

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,562

On the left, the bin Laden of the 2004 video. On the right, the same, three years later. The 2007 video was provided to the government by the Search for International Terrorist Entities Institute (SITE), founded by Israeli-American Rita Katz, daughter of an Iraqi Jew executed by Saddam Hussein on the charge of spying for Israel.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,842

The indictment of Saudi Arabia, which seems written into the script of September 11th, bears the signature of the neoconservatives. After the attacks of September 11th, David Wurmser opened the hostilities in the Weekly Standard with an article entitled “The Saudi Connection” claiming that the royal family was behind the attack.[307] The Hudson

Institute, a bastion for neoconservative doctrine, has long led a virulent campaign demonizing the Saudi dynasty, under the leadership of its co-founder Max Singer (now director of research at the Institute for Zionist Strategies in Jerusalem). In June 2002, the Institute sponsored a seminar entitled “Discourses on Democracy: Saudi Arabia, Friend or Foe?” where all the presentations suggest that “foe” is the correct answer. A special event was held to launch the book *Hatred’s Kingdom: How Saudi Arabia Supports the New Global Terrorism*, written by Dore Gold, an Israeli who has served as advisor to Netanyahu and Sharon and as ambassador to the United Nations. On July 10, 2002, the Franco-American neoconservative Laurent Murawiec, a member of the Hudson Institute and the Committee on the Present Danger, appeared before Richard Perle’s Defense Policy Board to explain that Saudi Arabia is “the kernel of evil, the prime mover, the most dangerous opponent” and recommend that the United States invade, occupy and fragment the state. He summarized his “Grand Strategy for the Middle East” with these words: “Iraq is the tactical pivot, Saudi Arabia the strategic pivot, Egypt the prize.”[308] Murawiec is the author of several books demonizing Saud, such as *Princes of Darkness: the Saudi Assault on the West* (2005).

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 3,009

The problem is that there is no indication whatsoever that the oil lobby had encouraged the military intervention in Iraq. What oil companies had asked, rather, was the lifting of sanctions that prohibited them from dealing with Saddam’s Iraq—the same as they are now asking for Iran. Indeed, as James Petras has shown in *Zionism, Militarism and the Decline of US Power* (2008), “‘Big Oil’ not only did not promote the invasion, but has failed to secure a single oil field, despite the presence of 160,000 US troops, 127,000 Pentagon/State Department paid mercenaries and a corrupt puppet régime.”[331] When in 2009 the licenses for exploitation were auctioned, it was Russia and China who grabbed the lion’s share, with even France’s company Total coming ahead of U.S. companies.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 3,018

Proponents of the oil thesis like to foreground Halliburton, which has doubled its income in becoming the largest private contractor working for U.S. forces in Iraq. They rightly accuse Dick Cheney of having

personally gained \$50 million in promoting Halliburton, after having served as its CEO from 1995 to 2000. However, Halliburton and Cheney's personal gains in Iraq have little to do with a national strategy for control of natural resources. Furthermore, Halliburton is not a petroleum company, but rather a civil engineering company that provides services to oil companies, as well as to armies. Besides, in the 1990s, even Halliburton (then under Cheney's leadership) had called for the lifting of sanctions on Iraq, Iran and Libya, and had even been charged a \$3.8 million fine for having bypassed said sanctions. Yes, Dick Cheney has blood on his bank account—and he is not alone—but the United States of America as a whole won nothing in the war in Iraq, which cost the American people a whopping \$3 trillion, according to lowest estimates (Joseph Stieglitz, *The Three Trillion Dollar War*, 2008). [333] As for the Bushes, renowned oil sharks, there is no indication that they stood to make personal financial gain, not to mention the fact that the aggressiveness of neoconservative rhetoric against Saudi Arabia has hurt their interests. No, the oil does not explain the war in Iraq, nor does it explain the war in Afghanistan, nor does it explain the planned war against Iran. And it certainly does not explain the extraordinary discipline of corporate medias in their support of the government 9/11 myth.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 3,173

President George H. W. Bush, however, cultivated friendships with Saudi Arabia and was not exactly a friend of Israel; he resisted in 1991 an unprecedented pro-Israel lobbying campaign that called for \$10 billion to help Jews immigrate from the former Soviet Union to Israel, complaining in a televised press conference on September 12th that “one thousand Jewish lobbyists are on Capitol Hill against little old me”—causing Tom Dine, the Executive Director of AIPAC, to exclaim, “September 12, 1991, is a day that will live in infamy.”[348] Bush senior also resisted the neoconservatives' advice to invade Iraq after Operation Desert Storm. Finally, Bush's Secretary of State James Baker was deemed too receptive to Arab proposals at the Madrid Conference in November 1991. The Israel lobby, as a result, sabotaged Bush's chances for a second term and supported the Democrat candidate Bill Clinton. On September 2, 1994, the Israeli newspaper Maariv ran a story by Avinoam Bar-Yosef about “The Jews Who Run Clinton's Court”, quoting a prominent Washington rabbi to the effect that “the term ‘government of goyim’” has become “an outdated term in the U.S.”, since the Clinton administration is “full of warm Jews” (i.e. dedicated Zionists). In the

National Security Council, for example, “7 out of 11 top staffers are Jews. Clinton had especially placed them in the most sensitive junctions in the U.S. security and foreign administrations.”

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 3,372

is not a popular sell.”[375] That’s really it in a nutshell: the United States must be led to make war with the enemies of Israel, and in order to that, Americans must be convinced that Israel’s enemies are America’s enemies.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 3,509

Few people know, for example, that at the time of the attacks, the American federal police were busy dismantling the largest Israeli spy network ever caught on U.S. soil. In March 2001, the National Counterintelligence Center (NCIC) posted this message on its website: “In the past six weeks, employees in federal office buildings located throughout the United States have reported suspicious activities connected with individuals representing themselves as foreign [Israeli] students selling or delivering artwork.” The NCIC states that, “these individuals have also gone to the private residences of senior federal officials under the guise of selling art.”

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 3,556

fake. The real Mohamed Atta, who called his father after the attacks, was described by his family as reserved, pious, not solicitous of women and having a fear of flying. He had had his passport stolen in 1999 while studying architecture in Hamburg. The false Mohamed Atta in Florida was living with a stripper, ate pork, loved fast cars, casinos and cocaine.[399] As has been reported by the South Florida Sun-Sentinel on September 16, under the headline “Suspects’ Actions Don’t Add Up,” “Atta” got drunk and intoxicated and paid for the services of several prostitutes in the weeks and days prior to September 11th, along with four other unlikely suicide bombers who had similar behavior— incompatible with the Islamic preparation for death.

Note:

---

Yellow highlight | Location: 4,020

---

The failure of U.S. troops to silence the resistance in Iraq has forced the postponement of the attack on Iran. But Daniel Pipes took the bad news in good spirits, cheerfully stating in the New York Sun (February 28, 2006) that the Iraqi civil war will invite “Syrian and Iranian participation, hastening the possibility of an American confrontation with those two states.”[455] In spring 2008, President Bush took up this new neoconservative chorus: “The regime of Tehran has a choice to make. [...] If Iran makes the wrong choice, America will act to protect our interests and our troops and our Iraqi partners.”[456] Unbeknownst to the American public, in May 2003, the Iranian government had sent to Washington, through the Swiss ambassador in Tehran, a proposal known as the “Grand Bargain,” by which, in exchange for the lifting of economic sanctions, Iran promised cooperation with the United States to stabilize Iraq and establish there a secular democracy. Iran was prepared to make further concessions, including peace with Israel. Bush and Cheney, however, prevented Powell from responding positively to the gesture, so that, in the words of his Chief of Staff Lawrence Wilkerson, “the secret cabal got what it wanted: no negotiations with Tehran.”

Note:

---