



YOUR KINDLE NOTES FOR:

Call It Conspiracy: Sequel to None Dare Call It Conspiracy

Gary Allen and Larry Abraham

Last accessed on Sunday, April 7, 2024

6 Highlights | 0 Notes

Yellow highlight | Location: 129

No one has described their outrage more graphically than the English Christian scholar and novelist, C. S. Lewis, in his magnificent novel, *That Hideous Strength* (1945). In this novel, Lewis creates a science fiction story about a government-funded scientific research organization which is hierarchically controlled by systematically evil men who intend to suppress the liberties of the whole population. They seek power with a vengeance, including occult magical power. That Lewis understood that rationalism and materialism can combine with magic is remarkable enough: that he understood it in the 1940's is astounding. He was virtually alone in this belief then. Events since 1965 have shown how correct he was. Occultism is on the rise, especially on university campuses. (Lewis, oddly enough, died on November 22, 1962, the same day that President John F. Kennedy died, and the same day that English novelist-atheist Aldous Huxley died. It was Huxley's grandfather, Thomas Huxley, who first promoted and popularized Charles Darwin's *Origin of Species* [1859], which has probably been the most important book in the arsenal of the conspiracy — vastly more important, at least in the industrialized West, than anything written by Karl Marx, another dedicated follower of Darwin.)

Note:

Yellow highlight | Location: 675

Why is it that virtually all "reputable" scholars and mass media columnists and commentators reject the cause and effect or conspiratorial theory of history? Primarily, most scholars follow the crowd in the academic world just as most women follow fashions. To buck the tide means social and professional ostracism. The same is true of the mass media. While professors and pontificators profess to be tolerant and broadminded, in practice it's strictly a one way street - with all traffic flowing left. A Maoist can be tolerated by Liberals of Ivory Towerland or by the Establishment's media pundits, but to be a conservative, and a conservative who propounds a conspiratorial view, is absolutely verboten. Better you should be a drunk at a national WCTU convention! Secondly, these people have over the years acquired a strong vested emotional interest in their own errors. Their intellects and egos are totally committed to the accidental theory. Most people are highly reluctant to admit that they have been conned or have shown poor judgment. To inspect the evidence of the existence of a conspiracy guiding our political destiny from behind the scenes would force many of

these people to repudiate a lifetime of accumulated opinions. It takes a person with strong character indeed to face the facts and admit he has been wrong even if it was because he was uninformed.

Note:

Yellow highlight | Location: 1,012

In 1953, one of these men, Norman Dodd, headed the Reece Committee's investigation of tax-free foundations. When Mr. Dodd began delving into the role of international high finance in the world revolutionary movement, the investigation was killed on orders from the Eisenhower occupied White House. According to Mr. Dodd, it is permissible to investigate the radical bomb throwers in the streets, but when you begin to trace their activities back to their origins in the "legitimate world," the political iron curtain slams down.

Note:

Yellow highlight | Location: 1,195

Since all Communists and their Insider bosses are waging a constant struggle for SOCIALISM, let us define that term. Socialism is usually defined as government ownership and/or control over the basic means of production and distribution of goods and services. When analyzed this means government control over everything, including you. All controls are "people" controls. If the government controls these areas it can eventually do just exactly as Marx set out to do—destroy the right to private property, eliminate the family and wipe out religion.

Note:

Yellow highlight | Location: 2,307

Today the CFR remains active in working toward its final goal of a government over the entire world—a government which the Insiders and their allies will control. The goal of the CFR is simply to abolish the United States with its Constitutional guarantees of liberty. And they don't even try to hide it. Study No.7, published by the CFR on November 25, 1959, openly advocates "building a new international order [which] must be responsive to world aspirations for peace, [and] for social and economic change...an international order [code word for world government]...including states labeling themselves as 'Socialist' [Communist]."7 The reason is evident to those who have studied its membership for this little known semi-secret organization to be called "the Establishment." (See Chart 7) International banking organizations that currently have men in the CFR include Kuhn, Loeb & Company; Lazard Freres (directly affiliated with Rothschild); Dillon Read; Lehman Bros.; Goldman Sachs; Chase Manhattan Bank; Morgan Guaranty Bank; Brown Bros. Harriman; First National City Bank; Chemical Bank & Trust, and Manufacturers Hanover Trust Bank.8

The same "Liberal" media that tried to sell us Yuri Andropov as "a closet liberal" has a new, improved version of us. Mikhail Gorbachev is a media happening, and the purveyors of disinformation are having a field day. The press was quick to dig out all the sympatico Gorbachev quotes they could find in their files, such as the following (from his speech to the British Parliament last December): "Ladies and gentlemen, we all agree that ours is a vulnerable, fragile, yet interdependent world, where we must coexist, whether we want this or not. For all that separates us, we have one planet and Europe is our common home, not a theater of operations." Does that oh-so-sensitive-and-caring rhetoric sound familiar? Why, the most ardent peacenik couldn't have said it better! It was this kind of believable pap that led British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher to say of the new Russian leader, "I like Mr. Gorbachev. We can do business together." And if you think "good ol' Mike" is neat, wait until you meet his wife Raisa. The wives of most Politburo leaders look as though they should be building dams in Siberia, but not this honey. The London Sunday Times described her as "Soviet realism's answer to Princess Di." According to the Associated Press, "The British were taken by Mrs. Gorbachev's wit, trim figure, stylish brown hair, and tailored clothes." Tell me, is it beginning to sound as though some slick Madison Avenue press agent is trying to sell us something here? So far as I can tell, the only two voices of reason in the cacophony Arkady Shevchenko. Yet nowhere did anybody examine the real issues about Gorbachev's ascendancy — obvious questions like, "Who chooses the Soviet leadership?" Or even more accurately, "Who is the Soviet leader?" Ever since the death of Joseph Stalin, the leadership of the U.S.S.R. has carried with it some interesting contradictions. Georgi Malenkov was heralded as the undisputed leader following Stalin's death, only to vanish within a very short time. Next came the troika, out of which emerged Bulganin, then Nikita Khrushchev, both of whom apparently reigned supreme only to be summarily set aside. Of the most recent group — Brezhnev, Andropov, and Chernenko — two common threads make for interesting speculation. Those threads are (1) Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko, and (2) U.S. Soviet Ambassador Anatoliy F. Dobrynin. Though all of the shifts and turns of the Party line, through one leader after another, through power struggles and Party intrigue, these two have remained firmly in position. Gromyko has been at the helm for almost forty years, and Dobrynin, who presumably answers to him, has been ensconced in Washington, D.C. as Soviet Ambassador for almost twenty-five years. Read how Alexander Haig describes Dobrynin in his book, *Caveat*: "Dobrynin is the dean of the Washington diplomatic corps. In nearly a quarter of a century in Washington, he has developed an Encyclopedic knowledge of American affairs. He is a brilliant man,...